

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-5512-2020

Date of Decision: 07.02.2020

Vikul Kumar @ Pinka

.. Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Harjinder Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

...

Manoj Bajaj, J. (Oral)

Petitioner has filed this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.87 dated 10.04.2010, under Sections 307, 511, 341, 506, 148 and 149 IPC, 1860 and Section 25 Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station City Phagwara, District Kapurthala. He apprehended his arrest at the hands of police in the above FIR.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner had entered into a compromise with the complainant and had thereafter, left India. He was declared as a proclaimed offender on 10.07.2013. It is contended that the co-accused of the petitioner were acquitted vide judgment dated 16.04.2015 and, therefore, the petitioner deserves the concession of anticipatory bail. Learned counsel for the petitioner is unable to furnish the details of the compromise and its date. Even the date when the petitioner left India is not mentioned in the petition. However, learned counsel states that the petitioner left India somewhere around 2013.

Considering the above and seriousness of offence, but without meaning any expression of opinion on merits of the case, this Court does

not find any reason to extend the extraordinary concession of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner. Resultantly, petition is dismissed.

07.02.2020
Jasmine Kaur

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No