

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-5296-2020
Date of decision: 06.02.2020**

Jai Dayal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. J. P. Jangu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 149 dated 30.12.2019, registered under Sections 323, 34 and 506 of the IPC (Sections 325 and 307 of the IPC added later on) at Police Station Rohadai, District Rewari.

As per the allegations in the FIR, registered at the instance of complainant Paramjeet, it is stated that on 30.12.2019, when his father Kaptan Singh was going from the house of Sarpanch to his own house, the petitioner started abusing his father and his son Pardeep gave a *lathi* blow on his head. They also threatened to kill complainant's father. Thereafter, on the same day, the present FIR was registered and the police obtained NCCT report and found that there are two injuries on the skull and nose of Kaptan Singh and the medical board declared the injury of skull to be dangerous to life.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that it is a case of version and cross version and even the petitioner has suffered injuries and with regard to the same, the petitioner has also given complaint to the

police and other higher authorities but till date, no action has been taken thereunder.

At this stage, Mr. Parveen Kumar, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of the complainant, on his own, and has opposed the prayer of the petitioner on the ground that at the hands of petitioner and his son, the complainant's father has suffered grievous injuries in his skull, which have been declared dangerous to life.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties, I find no ground to grant the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner considering the fact that there are serious allegations against him.

A perusal of the MLR (Annexure P-2) relied upon by the petitioner shows that only simple injuries are there. Be whatsoever, this MLR itself demonstrates that petitioner and his son were present at the spot when the injuries were caused to Kaptan Singh.

Accordingly, this petition is hereby dismissed.

06.02.2020

Waseem Ansari

**(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No