

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.3477 of 2020

Date of Decision : 10.02.2020

Vidya Sagar Bhardwaj

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S.Walia

Present: Mr. Sanjeev Patiyal, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S.WALIA, J. (Oral)

1. After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner has submitted representation Annexure P/15 dated 06.01.2020, in respect of the grievance which is the subject matter of the writ petition but the same has not been decided till date and in the circumstances the petitioner would be satisfied if the writ petition is disposed of at this stage by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the same in accordance with law in a time bound manner.

2. Without commencing upon the merits of the controversy or the entitlement of the petitioner to the relief claimed, the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the claim made in representation Annexure P/15 dated 06.01.2020, in accordance with law.

**(B.S.WALIA)
JUDGE**

10.02.2020.

rajesh.k.khurana

Whether speaking/reasoned

: Yes/No

Whether reportable

: Yes/No