

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-3083-2020

Date of decision : 05.02.2020

Begh Raj

... Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Urban Development Authority and others

... Respondents

***CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SHARMA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI***

Present: Mr.Manoj Kumar Sood, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAJIV SHARMA, J.

The petitioner has laid challenge to notice dated 21.1.2020 (Annexure P-10) issued under Section 13 (4) of the Securitization and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Security Interest Act, 2002. The petitioner has already filed a suit which is pending in the Court of Civil Judge (Senior Division), Faridabad.

Since the disputed questions of facts are involved in the present case, the same cannot be adjudicated upon in the extraordinary jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.

The writ petition is dismissed.

**(RAJIV SHARMA)
JUDGE**

**(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE**

February 05, 2020.

Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No