

121.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRR(F)-164-2020

Date of decision: 10.02.2020

BALDEV SINGH

... Petitioner

versus

KANT KAUR

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: Mr. Rishu Mahajan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)

Petitioner-husband has filed the present revision petition impugning the order dated 13.12.2019 passed by the learned Principal Judge, Family Court, Camp Court Ajnala, whereby on an application filed by the respondent-wife under Section 125 Cr.P.C., the learned Family Court has awarded maintenance to the respondent @ Rs.4,000/- per month from the date of application.

Heard.

Apart from the fact that the maintenance is interim in nature, the respondent is the wife of the petitioner. The argument of counsel for the petitioner that out of the wedlock, there are three children and are staying with the petitioner, is of no relevance.

Considering the quantum of maintenance awarded to the respondent-wife, no case for interference is made out.

Dismissed.

**(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE**

10.02.2020
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No