

130+258

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No.7987 of 2020 in/and
CRM-M No.5281 of 2019
Date of Decision : 02.03.2020**

Ram Kumar @ Kallau and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present : Mr. Ashok Kaushik, Advocate
for the applicants/petitioners.

Mr. Navdeep Singh, Asstt. Advocate General, Haryana
for respondent No.1/State.

Respondent No.2 in person.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J. (ORAL)

CRM No.7987 of 2020

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the applicants/ petitioners are permitted to place on record the complete copy of Compromise (Annexure P-4), subject to all just exceptions.

Application stands disposed off.

CRM-M No.5281 of 2019

In this petition, the petitioners, who are the accused persons in F.I.R No.131, dated 09.08.2014, under Sections 148, 149, 323, 325, 427, 506 of the Indian Penal Code (for short, 'the IPC'), registered at

Police Station Hassanpur (Annexure P-1) have prayed for quashing of F.I.R along with private Complaint No.37 dated 09.10.2014 & RBT 158 dated 09.10.2014 filed under Sections 119, 148, 149, 201, 202, 204, 218, 307, 323, 324, 385, 394, 406, 427, 447, 468, 469, 506/34, 120-B of the IPC (Annexure P-2) pertaining to the same incident, and the Summoning Order dated 18.05.2015 (Annexure P-3) summoning them for the offences under Sections 148, 149, 323, 324, 447 read with 120-B of the IPC with all subsequent proceedings pending therefrom, on the basis of compromise.

2. Now the complainant has arrived at a settlement with the accused persons vide Compromise Deed (Annexure P-4), which is duly signed by him. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties.

4. Seen the Report sent up by the Ld. Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Hodal, in compliance of the earlier order passed by this Court on 12th March, 2019.

5. The Ld. Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Hodal, vide report dated 10.04.2019 has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure.

6. Respondents No.2, who is present, in person, in the Court, does not dispute the factum of compromise.

7. In view of the report of the Ld. Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Hodal, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/accused persons.

8. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. F.I.R No.131, dated 09.08.2014, under Sections 148, 149, 323, 325, 427, 506 of the IPC, registered at Police Station Hassanpur (Annexure P-1) along with private Complaint No.37 dated 09.10.2014 & RBT 158 dated 09.10.2014 filed under Sections 119, 148, 149, 201, 202, 204, 218, 307, 323, 324, 385, 394, 406, 427, 447, 468, 469, 506/34, 120-B of the IPC (Annexure P-2) as also the Summoning Order dated 18.05.2015 (Annexure P-3), with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, is hereby quashed *qua* the petitioners.

March 02, 2020

Dpr

(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No