

104

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-28606-2020 in/and
CRM-M-5155-2020
Date of Decision: 27.11.2020

Gurmit Kaur and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Mr. G.S. Batti, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Bhupender Beniwal, AAG, Punjab,
for respondent No.1-State.

Ms. Gagandeep Kaur, Advocate,
for respondent No.2-Complainant.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA J. (ORAL)

CRM-28606-2020

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the prayer for preponement is allowed and the date of hearing in the main appeal is preponed to today itself (from 08.02.2021).

Application stands disposed off.

CRM-M-5155-2020

In this petition, the petitioners, who are the accused persons in F.I.R No.0130, dated 01.11.2019, under Sections 452, 323, 506 & 34 of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Sadar Ahmedgarh, District Sangrur (Annexure P-1), have prayed for quashing of F.I.R. with all subsequent proceedings, on the basis of compromise.

[2]. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the complainant has arrived at a settlement with the accused vide Panchayati Compromise (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by him. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. The Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Malerkotla, vide report dated 25.02.2020, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure.

[3]. Respondent No.2 is represented by his Counsel through Video Conferencing, who does not oppose the compromise.

[4]. In view of the report of the Judicial Magistrate First Class, Malerkotla, and in view of the decisions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **"Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another", 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543** and **"Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another", (2014) 6 SCC 466**, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/accused persons.

[5]. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. F.I.R No.0130, dated 01.11.2019, under Sections 452, 323, 506 & 34 of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Sadar Ahmedgarh, District Sangrur (Annexure P-1), with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, is hereby quashed qua the present petitioners.

27.11.2020

Bhumika

(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

2. Whether reportable: No