

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.3050 of 2020

Date of Decision : 04.02.2020.

Suman Dahiya

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S.Walia

Present: Mr. Jangjit Singh Dahiya, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S.WALIA, J. (Oral)

1. After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner has served legal notices Annexure P/6 and P/7 dated 28.05.2019 and 07.09.2019 in respect of the grievances which are the subject matter of the writ petition but the claim made therein has not been decided till date and in the circumstances, the petitioner would be satisfied if the writ petition is disposed of at this stage by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the same, in accordance with law.

2. Without commenting upon the merits of the controversy or the entitlement of the petitioner to the relief claimed, the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide legal notice Annexure P/7 dated 07.09.2019, in accordance with law.

**(B.S.WALIA)
JUDGE**

04.02.2020.

rajesh.k.khurana

Whether speaking/reasoned

: Yes/No

Whether reportable

: Yes/No