

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-2993-2020

Date of decision: - 04.02.2020

Anju Garg and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State Bank of India

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. Aayush Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioners.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that despite the fact that home loan of ₹59,28,000/- has already been repaid by the petitioners, the documents such as sale deed dated 13.08.2015 (Annexure P-1), which was kept while granting the home loan, is not being returned by the respondent-Bank and that too without any valid justification. The prayer of the petitioners is for directing the respondents to release the sale deed dated 13.08.2015 (Annexure P-1) as there is no impediment in the release of the same.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that for the relief, which has been sought in the present writ petition, petitioners have served the respondents with a legal notice dated 30.08.2019 (Annexure P-4), which is still pending consideration with the respondents and the

petitioners will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said legal notice.

In view of the request made, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioners, the respondents are directed to decide the legal notice dated 30.08.2019 (Annexure P-4) by passing a speaking order within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Present writ petition stands disposed of.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

February 04, 2020
naresh.k

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes
Whether reportable?	No