

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

113

CWP-3020-2020

Date of decision: 04.02.2020

Sukhdev Singh and others

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and another

....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Girish Agnihotri

Present: Mr. Himanshu Chhabra, Advocate
for the petitioners.

GIRISH AGNIHOTRI, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed by the petitioners-Sukhdev Singh and 18 others, *inter alia* praying for directions to respondent No.2 to refund the excess interest charged from the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in this regard, the petitioners had submitted a representation dated 02.12.2019. Copy of which, is appended herewith as Annexure P-2 (Annexure P-2). Learned counsel further submits that in the representation as well as in the present writ petition, reliance has been placed upon the judgment of the ***Hon'ble Supreme Court of India reported as 2018(14) SCC 809, titled as R.C. Gupta and others vs. Regional Provident Fund Commissioner Employees Provident Fund Organisation and others.***

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners would be satisfied, in case a direction is given to the respondents

to decide their representation in a time bound frame.

This Court without commenting on the merits of the case, deems it appropriate to direct the respondents to examine the representation and the pleas taken therein and pass a speaking order in accordance with law within one week from the date of certified copy of this order.

Accordingly, the present writ petition is disposed of.

(GIRISH AGNIHOTRI)
JUDGE

04.02.2020
anju rani

Whether speaking/ reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No