

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Appeal No.D-398-DB of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: February 10, 2020

Randeep Singh @ Rana and other

...Appellants

VERSUS

State of Haryana

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ARCHANA PURI**

Present: Mr.Sartej Singh Narula, Advocate
for appellants namely Randeep Singh @ Rana, Parveen Kumar
@ Kaka, Vivek @ Kali and Prabhjot @ Rajji.

Mr.Ravinder Bangal, Advocate
for appellant namely Rajesh Kumar @ Don.

Mr.Brijender Kaushik, Advocate
for appellant namely Mohit @ Kaga.

Mr.Baldev Singh Badhran, Advocate
for appellant namely Chaman Lal.

Mr.Vikrant Pamboo, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana
for the respondent-State.

ARCHANA PURI, J.

For detailed reasons recorded in judgment of even date, passed
in **CRA No.D-335-DB of 2017** titled as ***Bhim Sain alias Kaka Ganth vs.
State of Haryana***, which shall form part of this order, the present appeal is
dismissed qua appellants-Randeep Singh @ Rana and Rajesh Kumar @ Don,
whereas, it is allowed qua appellants Parveen Kumar @ Kala, Vivek @ Kali,
Mohit @ Kaga, Chaman Lal and Prabhjot @ Rajji.

**(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE**

**(ARCHANA PURI)
JUDGE**

February 10, 2020

Vgulati

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

**Yes/No
Yes/No**