

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.3851 of 2020
Date of Decision: 13.02.2020.

Raj Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Shahri Vikas Pradhikaran

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S.Walia

Present: Mr. Saurabh Dalal, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S.WALIA, J. (Oral)

1. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner states that he does not press the relief qua Annexure P/4 and would be satisfied if the writ petition is disposed of at this stage by directing the respondent to consider and decide the representation Annexure P/8 dated 11.12.2019, in accordance with law, in a time bound manner.

2. Notice of motion. Mr. Deepak Balyan, Advocate, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent and waives service and states that he has no objection to the limited prayer of learned counsel for the petitioner.

3. Without commenting upon the merits of the controversy or the entitlement of the petitioner to the relief claimed, the writ petition is disposed of by directing the respondent to consider and decide the claim as made in representation Annexure P/8 dated

11.12.2019, in accordance with law, after taking into account all aspects of the matter, as expeditiously as possible preferably within three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(B.S.WALIA)
JUDGE

13.02.2020.

rajesh.k.khurana

Whether speaking/reasoned	: Yes/No
Whether reportable	: Yes/No