

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

(Proceedings conducted through Video Conference)

CWP No.4482 of 2020 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 24.11.2020

INDERJIT MALIK AND OTHERS

---Petitioners

versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

---Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Rekha Mittal

Present: Mr. Anil Mehta, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. S.S.Pannu, D.A.G., Haryana

Rekha Mittal, J.

Counsel for the petitioners would argue that petitioners have been wrongly denied promotion to the post of High School Headmasters when their juniors in service were so promoted vide order dated 31.05.2019 (Annexure P-3), 11.02.2019 (Annexure P-4) and 10.02.2014 (Annexure P-5). He would inform that petitioners filed representations dated 18.06.2019 and 08.08.2019 (Annexure P-6 colly) but the same remained unattended. He would state that the petitioners would be satisfied in case directions are issued to the Director General Secondary Education, Haryana-respondent No.2 to dispose of the aforesaid representations, in a time bound manner.

Taking into consideration the nature of order proposed to be passed, filing of formal reply by respondents No.1 and 2 is dispensed with. However, without examining merits of the case, petition stands disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to examine the representations made by

the petitioners (Annexure P-6 colly) and decide the same by passing a speaking order with reference to relevant rules etc. within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order. In case the petitioners do not feel convinced with the decision taken by the competent authority aforesaid, they would be at liberty to take recourse to appropriate remedy in law. In that eventuality, respondents No.1 and 2 or any other respondent impleaded in the petition would be at liberty to raise all available/plausible defences.

(Rekha Mittal)
Judge

24.11.2020

SANGEETA

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No