

216

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.4556 of 2020(O&M)  
Date of Decision: 07.02.2020**

**Manish Kumar**

**.....Petitioner**

**Vs**

**State of Haryana**

**.....Respondent**

**CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH***

Present:Mr. K.S. Dhaliwal, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Anmol Malik, AAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

**RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)**

Petitioner seeks grant of regular bail under Section 439 Cr.P.C in case bearing FIR No.585 dated 29.09.2018 registered under Sections 148, 149, 201, 302 and 120-B IPC at Police Station Safidon, District Jind.

Allegations against the petitioner are that the vehicle was purchased by the co-accused Sushil @ Sheela in the name of the petitioner. On 28.09.2018, the sister of the complainant went to the fields near Safidon Road. Her husband Mahipal followed her. Brother of Mahipal namely Subhash has a kotha in

the form of Dhaba in the fields. The complainant had suspicion that Krishan, Subhash, Mahipal, Shriram, their nephew Ajit and younger brother of Ajit in connivance with other co-accused have murdered the sister of the complainant. In order to screen the offence, dead body was thrown in the fields of Singhana Road at Bahadurgarh.

In the disclosure statement of Mahipal, the prosecution story has been allegedly twisted wherein defined roles of Sushil @ Sheela and the present petitioner have been given.

Perusal of the disclosure statement of Mahipal would make the role/attribution qua the petitioner either on parity with Sushil @ Sheela or slightly lesser than the same. Sushil @ Sheela has already been granted bail on 11.12.2019 by the High Court in CRM-M No.48438 of 2019.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Subhash Chander states that the charges have been framed and out of 24 prosecution witnesses, 3 prosecution witnesses have been examined. Now the case is fixed for 29.02.2020.

For the reasons recorded hereinabove, I *prima facie* find that the case of the petitioner can be treated at par with Sushil @ Sheela.

At this stage, without adverting to the merits of the

case, it will be just and expedient to grant benefit of regular bail to the petitioner.

Let the petitioner be enlarged on regular bail, subject to his furnishing adequate bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

Nothing expressed hereinabove would be construed to be an expression of any opinion on merits of the case.

07.02.2020  
*Prince*

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)**  
**JUDGE**

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | Yes/No |