

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 2589 of 2020

Date of decision: 11.02.2020

Karnail Singh

.....Petitioner

V/s.

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: - HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR

Present: Mr. Nitin Sachdeva, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Simran Grewal, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

Sanjay Kumar, J. (Oral)

Challenge in this writ petition by a resident of Village Maqsoodra, Tehsil Payal, District Ludhiana, was to the report dated 13.11.2019 (Annexure P-9) submitted by the Block Development and Panchayat Officer, Doraha, District Ludhiana.

The petitioner also sought a direction to the authorities to take appropriate action against the unofficial respondents in terms of his complaint dated 04.02.2019 (Annexure P-4) and in compliance with the direction of this Court, vide order dated 31.07.2018 passed in CWP No.12200 of 2018.

Perusal of the record reflects that the earlier writ petition filed by the petitioner and others, viz., CWP No.12200 of 2018, was disposed of granting liberty to him to approach the competent authority for highlighting

their grievance with regard to misuse of school land. It appears that the petitioner thereafter submitted representation dated 04.02.2019, ventilating his grievances against the unofficial respondents herein.

Aggrieved by the alleged inaction of the authorities thereon, the petitioner and another filed CWP No. 11526 of 2019 before this Court. The said writ petition was disposed of on 03.05.2019 directing the Director, Panchayat and Rural Development, Punjab, to consider and decide the representation dated 04.02.2019 and take appropriate action therein within a time frame.

The impugned report dated 13.11.2019 (Annexure P-9) embodies a step in the process of compliance undertaken by the authorities pursuant to the aforestated order dated 03.05.2019 passed in CWP No.11526 of 2019.

While so, Ms. Simran Grewal, learned Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, would inform this Court that the Director, Panchayat and Rural Development, Punjab, passed a final order on 23.01.2020 rejecting the representation of the petitioner. A copy of the said order dated 23.01.2020 is placed on record and a copy thereof is also furnished to Mr. Nitin Sachdeva, learned counsel for the petitioner.

In the light of the aforestated development, the grievance of the petitioner with regard to the report dated 13.11.2019 submitted by the Block Development and Panchayat Officer, Daroha, District Ludhiana, no longer survives for independent consideration on merits. If the petitioner is aggrieved by the order dated 23.01.2020 now passed by the Director, Panchayat and Rural Development, Punjab, the second respondent, he

would necessarily have to seek redressal of such grievance by way of separate proceedings in accordance with law. Any grievance that he may have had with the report dated 13.11.2019, which seems to have been taken into consideration by the second respondent while passing the aforesaid order dated 23.01.2020, can be raised in such proceedings. Leaving it open to the petitioner to take recourse to such remedy, if he so chooses, the writ petition is dismissed.

No order as to costs.

(SANJAY KUMAR)
JUDGE

11.02.2020

rakesh

whether speaking/non speaking

:

Yes/no

whether reportable/non reportable

:

Yes/no