

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR-928-2020(O&M)

Date of decision: 10.02.2020

Sarita

.....Petitioner

Versus

Mukesh Mittal

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present:- Mr.Jatin Hans, Advocate for the petitioner

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

Tenant-petitioner has filed this revision petition against the order passed by learned Rent Controller assessing provisional rent payable at the rate of Rs.58/- per month.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that relationship of landlord and tenant is disputed. Be that as it may, it is the choice of the tenant is to pay or not to pay the provisional rent. If he chooses not to pay the assessed provisional rent, he has to face the consequences.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that since the relationship is being disputed, therefore, the petitioner may be permitted to deposit the provisional rent with the Rent Controller.

This Court has considered the submission.

It would be more appropriate for the petitioner to move an application in this regard before the Rent Controller which shall be decided expeditiously.

Disposed of.

10.02.2020

rekha

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether Reportable

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)

JUDGE

Yes / No

Yes / No