

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.2652 of 2020

Date of Decision: 31.01.2020

Harender Chauhan

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present:- Mr. Baljeet Beniwal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RITU BAHRI, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner is seeking quashing of the order dated 22.01.2020 (Annexure P-4), whereby his services have been terminated.

Petitioner was appointed as driver on contract basis on 09.01.2019. Initially, he was appointed for a period of six months i.e. from 10.01.2019 to 09.07.2019. Thereafter, his services were extended for another six months i.e. from 10.07.2019 to 09.01.2020 vide letter dated 12.07.2019. Grievance of the petitioner is that he has been relieved on 22.01.2020 (Annexure P-4) without giving any prior notice.

Impugned order dated 22.01.2020 (Annexure P-4) relieving the petitioner is not stigmatic. Hence, no notice was required to be given to him as he was engaged only for a period of six months vide letter dated 12.07.2019. He can only have a grievance if, he is replaced by another contractual driver in the office of Block Development and Panchayat Officer, Palwal.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Kiran Pal Singh, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

At this stage, present petition is disposed of by giving direction to the Block Development and Panchayat Officer, Palwal that in case, the services of contractual driver are required in his office, petitioner will be adjusted in place of another contractual driver.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

31.01.2020
ajp

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No