

121
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

SANJIV KUMAR SHARMA
2020.02.03 16:51
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

CWP-2558-2020
Date of decision : 31.1.2020

M/s Arvindera Electricals Petitioner (s)

Versus

Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited and others Respondent (s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SHARMA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU

Present:- Mr. Pratyush Tripathi, Advocate
for petitioner

-.-

-.-

RAJIV SHARMA, J (ORAL)

The petitioner has already filed an application under Section 11 (6) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 (in short 'the Act') for appointment of an arbitrator. In the meanwhile, the petitioner approached the Commercial Court in Gurugram under Section 9 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996.

We cannot permit simultaneous initiation of proceedings in different Courts on similar cause of action. Accordingly, there is no merit in this petition and same is dismissed.

(RAJIV SHARMA)
JUDGE

(HARINDER SINGH SIDHU)
JUDGE

31.1.2020
sjks

Whether speaking order : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No