

CWP-2571-2020

{1}

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

106

CWP-2571-2020

Date of Decision: 01.06.2020

ROHIT BANSAL AND OTHERS

....Petitioners

VS

UNION TERRITORY CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ARCHANA PURI**

**Present: Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.**

**Mr. Anil Mehta, Addl. Govt. Pleader, U.T.,
Chandigarh.**

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (ORAL)

The matter has been taken up through video-conferencing in the light of the pandemic COVID-19 situation and as per instructions.

Present writ petition has been filed by the petitioner for issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus for directing the respondents to consider the claim of the petitioners for allotment of residential accommodations within the precincts of Rehabilitation Policy in the light of the order dated 22.04.2019 passed by this Court in CWP No.30042 of 2018 and to consider the representation submitted by the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage, he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.1-

Financial Secretary, U.T., Chandigarh to consider and decide the

representation dated 03.06.2019 (Annexure P-9) expeditiously.

Heard.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Anil Mehta, Addl. Govt. Pleader for U.T., Chandigarh, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent No.1-Financial Secretary, U.T., Chandigarh, to consider and decide the representation dated 03.06.2019 (Annexure P-9), in accordance with law, within six weeks from the date of receipt of the certified copy of the judgment. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioners is admissible to them, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioners is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter, in accordance with law.

In case the final decision in the matter is not taken within the stipulated period, the petitioners shall have liberty either to file a fresh petition or to revive the present petition.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

(ARCHANA PURI)
JUDGE

01.06.2020
Himanshu

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No