

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR-806-2019 (O&M)
Date of decision : 30.01.2020

Abdul Hakim

...Petitioner

Versus

Ayub Khan and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Ashish Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

Execution petition filed under Order 21 Rule 32 of the Code of Civil Procedure, complaining violation of a decree for permanent injunction dated 19.01.2016 has been dismissed by the Executing Court, affirmed in appeal, on the ground that the Decree Holder has failed to produce cogent evidence to prove that there is any intentional violation of the decree passed.

The Courts have held that the stand of Judgment Debtors that they have not violated the decree stands proved.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, although made sincere attempt, however, failed to draw attention of the Court to substantive misreading or non-reading of evidence. Learned counsel for the petitioner also failed to draw attention of the Court to any error of law. Hence, there is no ground to interfere.

Accordingly, the present revision petition is dismissed.

30.01.2020

Pawan

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No