

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-4794 of 2020

DECIDED ON: 11th November, 2020

Madhusudan Singh Bedi

.....PETITIONER

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Ms. Prachi Mishra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Safia Gupta, AAG Haryana.

Mr. Amit Prashar, Advocate
for the complainant.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (ORAL)

The matter is taken up for hearing through video conference due to COVID-19 situation.

The present petition is for quashing of FIR No. 113 dated 26.9.2019 registered at Women Police Station District Gurgaon, under Sections 498-A and 406 IPC and all consequent proceedings arising therefrom.

Quashing is on the basis of compromise dated 20.12.2019 arrived at between the parties. The litigation is result of a matrimonial dispute between the petitioner and respondent No.2. Their marriage was solemnised on 24.12.2012. As a result of strained relations, they started living separately since 10.12.2018. The FIR is consequence of the matrimonial dispute. However, during the pendency of litigation the parties were sent for mediation, the matter was resolved as per mediation agreement.

This Court vide order dated 4.2.2020 directed the parties to get their statement recorded before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate. Report dated 26.10.2020 is received wherein it has been mentioned that compromise between the parties was entered with free will, voluntarily and without any pressure. No person is declared proclaimed offender.

On 3.11.2020 this Court noted the fact that as per compromise Rs.10,00,000/- is to be paid to the respondent No.2 and the matter was adjourned for today to do the needful.

Today learned counsel for the petitioner and respondent No.2 are *ad idem* that Demand Draft bearing No. 344718 for a sum of Rs.10,00,000/- has been handed over to respondent No.2.

Learned counsel for respondent No.2 submits that he has no objection if the FIR is quashed.

Considering that it was a matrimonial dispute and good sense has prevailed over the party and they have settled the matter in the mediation. No useful purpose would be served to continue with the trial as it will only add complication. The legal proceedings would work as blockade for the parties to pursue their future.

To meet the ends of justice, the FIR mentioned above and the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed.

11th November, 2020

reema

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>No</i>