

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.115

**CWP-2585-2020 (O&M)
Date of decision : 10.2.2020**

Bhani Ram and another

..... Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. J.S. Thind, Advocate, for the petitioners.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The only grievance of the petitioner is that his applications dated 5.11.2019 and 9.12.2019 (Annexures P-5 and P-6) respectively, are not being acted upon. Allegedly, the private respondents have demolished a running water course and respondent No.4 is not initiating proceedings upon the aforementioned applications for restoration of the said water course. It has been specifically argued that the representations dated 5.11.2019 (Annexure P-5) and dated 9.12.2019 (Annexure P-6) are in fact applications under Section 24 of the Haryana Canal and Drainage Act, 1974.

Keeping in view the nature of the prayer made in the writ petition, there is no necessity to issue notices to the respondents.

The writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.4 to take appropriate proceedings in accordance with law on the pending applications.

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

10.2.2020

Ramandeep Singh

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable

Yes/ No