

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-5091-2020(O&M)
Date of decision:17.08.2020**

Ramdat Thakur

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present:- Ms.Naveen Malik, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr. Chetan Sharma, AAG, Haryana

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner prays for bail pending trial in a criminal case arising from FIR No.83 dated 27.11.2019 registered under Section 363, 366 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 read with Section 4 of The Protection of Children from Sexual Offence Act 2012 at Police Station GRP Chandigarh (District Panchkula).

In a nutshell, the case of the prosecution is that the prosecutrix had come from Bihar to Chandigarh. However, when she reached Chandigarh, she did not find her relative. Thereafter, the petitioner met her and took her to his sister's house with a promise to marry her. It is claimed that the petitioner committed wrong things (rape) with her.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the prosecutrix has not supported the case of the prosecution in her statement under Section 164A Cr.P.C.

Learned State counsel does not dispute the aforesaid fact.

The petitioner is in custody for a period of 10 months.

Without commenting on the merits of the case and keeping in view the aforesaid facts, it is considered appropriate to direct the release of the petitioner on regular bail on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

Petition stands allowed.

17.08.2020
rekha
Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE
Yes / No
Yes / No