

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.4074 of 2020
Date of Decision: 14.02.2020**

Surender Singh and another

.....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present:- Mr. Govind Mor, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

RITU BAHRI, J. (ORAL)

Vide advertisement dated 28.06.2015 (Annexure P-1), applications for the post of PGT (Economics) were invited. Petitioners are physically handicapped candidates and they applied against 06 posts of PGT (Economics) under the OH category.

As per final result dated 08.11.2018 (Annexure P-10), against 06 posts meant for Orthopedically handicapped, 06 candidates have been selected.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that against four posts, which are lying vacant for visually handicapped persons, petitioners can be adjusted.

This argument is liable to be rejected as the petitioners have only right of being appointed under the Orthopedically Handicapped category. The posts, which are meant for visually handicapped persons have

to be kept reserved as these can be filled-up by the persons who are suffering from visual impairment.

No merits. Dismissed.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

14.02.2020
ajp

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No