

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

126/2

CR-617-2020

DATE OF DECISION: 28.01.2020

BHUPINDER SINGH

... **Petitioner(s)**

Versus

VIJAY KUMAR MAHAJAN AND ANOTHER ... **Respondent(s)**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Harsh Chopra, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has challenged the order dated 22.01.2020, whereby his evidence has been closed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the respondents have filed petition for eviction of the petitioner under Section 13 of The East Punjab Urban Rent Restriction Act, 1949. He contends that despite his best efforts, RW-1 and RW-2 could not be present for cross-examination and prays for grant of only one opportunity in that regard.

In view of the limited prayer of the learned counsel for the petitioner, I intend to dispose of this petition without issuing notice to the respondent.

The petitioner is seeking only one opportunity to produce RW-1 and RW-2 for cross-examination. It would be in the interest of justice, if one opportunity would be given to the petitioner for this purpose.

Consequently, the petition is allowed and the impugned order dated 22.01.2020 is set aside. The trial Court is directed to grant one opportunity to the petitioner to produce RW-1 and RW-2 for cross-examination, subject to costs of Rs.10,000/- to be paid to the respondents.

If the respondents are aggrieved by this order, they shall be at liberty to file an application in this regard.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

28.01.2020.

SwarnjitS

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No