

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.2249 of 2020

Date of decision: 28.01.2020

Anil Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

Before: Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia.

Present: Mr. Rajesh Lamba, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S. WALIA, J. (Oral)

1. After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for permission to withdraw the writ petition with liberty to file a representation in respect of the grievance which is the subject matter of the writ petition and for the issuance of directions to respondent No.2 to consider and decide the same in accordance with law after taking into account all aspects of the matter.

2. Notice of motion. Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1 and 2 and states that he has no objection to the limited prayer of learned counsel for the petitioner.

3. Without commenting upon the merits of the controversy or the entitlement of the petitioner to the relief claimed, the writ petition is permitted to be withdrawn while granting liberty to the petitioner to submit representation to respondent No.2 within one week

from today. In case any such representation is received by respondent No.2, the same shall be considered and decided in accordance with law after taking into account as aspects of the matter within one week thereafter.

(B.S. WALIA)
JUDGE

28.01.2020
rajesh.k.khurana

- | | | |
|------------------------------|---|---------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned | : | Yes/No. |
| 2. Whether reportable | : | Yes/No. |