

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

266

CR-7267-2018 (O&M)

Date of decision: 17.01.2020

HARWINDER KAUR WALIA

... Petitioner

Versus

MOHAN SINGH AND OTHERS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL

Present : Mr. Harminder Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Vivek K. Thakur, Advocate for respondents No.1, 2, 4 & 5.

REKHA MITTAL, J. (Oral)

Challenge in the present petition has been directed against order dated 07.08.2018 whereby application for amendment of the plaint has been partly allowed but plea with regard to amendment of paras No.4, 11 and 12 detailed at internal page 3 of the application for amendment has been declined.

Counsel for respondents No.1, 2, 4 and 5 has got no objection, if the petitioner is allowed to amend the plaint in respect of para 11 relating to valuation and para 12 with regard to any previous litigation between the parties. Accordingly, application filed by the petitioner for amendment of the plaint in respect of paras 11 and 12 is allowed by way of modification of the impugned order. However, there is no convincing arguments raised by counsel for the petitioner as to how the proposed amendment in para 4 of the plaint is necessary and material for complete and effective adjudication of the matter in controversy, therefore, order passed by the trial Court rejecting application for amendment of para 4 of the plaint is affirmed.

In view of what has been discussed hereinbefore, the petition stands disposed of.

17.01.2020

ashok

**(REKHA MITTAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes / No
Yes / No