

228-8

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CM No.2515-16-CII of 2016 IN/AND
CR No.759 of 2013
Date of decision: January 20, 2020**

Ravi Parkash

.....Petitioner

Versus

Rabinder Singh

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Divanshu Jain, Advocate for non-applicant-petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sehgal, Advocate for the applicant-respondent.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J

Present revision petition has been filed under Section 15(5) of the East Punjab Urban Rent Restriction Act, 1949 against the impugned judgment dated 05.11.2012 passed by learned Appellate Authority, Chandigarh, whereby, appeal of the landlord-respondent was allowed and order dated 28.04.2009 of learned Rent Controller, Chandigarh, thereby dismissing the ejection petition, was set aside.

At the outset, it is stated by learned counsel for the landlord-respondent that shop in question has already been vacated by the petitioner-tenant and the same is duly acknowledged by the learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions from his client.

On the basis of oral request made by both the sides, main revision petition, which was admitted on 23.12.2015 is taken up for disposal, today itself, with their consent.

Concededly, the premises in question has already been vacated by the petitioner-tenant and peaceful possession thereof is delivered to the landlord-respondent, therefore, nothing survives in the present petition; consequently, the same is disposed off as having been rendered infructuous. Pending application(s), if any, are also disposed off.

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

January 20, 2020

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No