

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-924-2020**

**Date of decision: 29.01.2020**

**Shaba Parvin and another**

**... Petitioners**

**Versus**

**Union Territory, Chandigarh and others**

**... Respondents**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI**

Present: Ms. Jainika Jain, Advocate, for the petitioners.

\*\*\*

**ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)**

The petitioners, who claim to be major, allege to have married (Nikaah) each other against wishes of the private respondents, who happen to be the immediate family members of petitioner No.1. In the circumstances, they apprehend danger to their lives.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that representation moved by the petitioners, dated 20.01.2020 (Annexure P-4), seeking protection, is pending consideration before the Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh, (respondent No.2), but to no avail.

In the wake of the above, and without commenting upon the veracity of the allegations set out in the petition as also the validity of the marriage of the petitioners, the petition is disposed of, with a direction to the Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh, (respondent No.2) that, if any such representation, as indicated above, is, indeed, pending, the same shall be considered forthwith and appropriate measures, as deemed necessary, shall be taken.

The petition stands disposed of, accordingly.

A copy of this order be sent to the Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh, (respondent No.2), so as to enable him to do the needful expeditiously.

**( ARUN PALLI )  
JUDGE**

**January 29, 2020**

**AK Sharma**

Whether speaking / reasoned:

**YES**

Whether Reportable:

**NO**