

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

116

CWP No.2302 of 2020

Date of Decision : 19.02.2020

Pushpa Yadav and others

....Petitioners

v/s

DAV Management Committee, Chitragupta Road,
New Delhi and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent: Mr. Sandeep Kumar Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioners.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, is for the issuance of a writ of mandamus, directing the respondents to grant pay scale as per 6th and 7th Pay Commission along with arrears, interest and consequential benefits in terms of the decision of the Government of Haryana, as also decision of Hon'ble the Delhi High Court in CWP No.160 of 2017, dated 06.09.2018.

[2] Learned counsel states that the petitioners have served legal notice (Annexure P-4) dated 05.09.2019 but the same has not been decided, till date and that in the circumstances, the petitioners would be satisfied, if the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.1 to consider and decide the claim made in the legal notice (Annexure P-4) dated 05.09.2019, in accordance with law, as well as decision of Hon'ble the Delhi High Court (Annexure P-2) dated 06.09.2018, in a time bound manner.

[3] Without commenting upon the merits of the controversy or the

entitlement of the petitioners to the relief claimed, the writ petition is ***disposed***

of by directing respondent No.1 to consider and decide the claim made in the legal notice (Annexure P-4) dated 05.09.2019, in accordance with law, after taking into account all aspects of the matter, including the decision of Hon'ble the Delhi High Court (Annexure P-2) dated 06.09.2018 in case, the same is applicable, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of 04 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

February 19, 2020
'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No