

**118+250 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CR No. 7003 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 16.01.2020**

Nirmla Devi

....Petitioner

Versus

Satyawan Singh Sawami and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Ms. Sonia G.Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, Advocate
for respondent No. 1.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

The plaintiff has filed the present revision petition against order dated 29.09.2018 passed by learned Additional Civil Judge, Senior Division, Assandh allowing application under Order 7 Rule 11 C.P.C. filed for rejection of the plaint on the ground that appropriate Court fee has not been deposited.

Learned counsel for the petitioner after arguing for some time and faced with the difficulty of persuading the Court has come up with an alternative prayer. She submits that while allowing the application under Order 7 Rule 11 C.P.C., the Court has not given the opportunity to the plaintiff to deposit the Court fee.

Learned counsel appearing for the respondent does not dispute this fact.

Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, plaintiff is permitted to deposit the ad valorem Court fee within a period of one month. If such Court fee is deposited, the Court would revive the suit and proceed in accordance with the law.

Disposed of.

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

16.01.2020

Maninder

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No