

109

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.3846 of 2020

Date of Decision: 29.01.2020

DALJIT KAUR AND ANOTHER

.....Petitioners

Vs

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present:Dr. Anmol Rattan Sidhu, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Pratham Sethi, Advocate
for the petitioners.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Petitioners seek issuance of necessary directions to the respondents No.1 to 3 in the context of harassment meted out to them at the behest of respondents No.4 and 5.

Learned Senior counsel for the petitioners submitted that Akku Ravinder Pal son of Baldev Singh is the husband of respondent No.4, who in turn is brother of petitioner No.1 and brother-in-law of petitioner No.2. Akku Ravinder Pal is not pulling well with respondent No.4 and he has filed some divorce petition in Austria against respondent No.4.

Learned Senior counsel further submitted that on account of aforesaid feud, the petitioners are being harassed

by the official respondents at the instance of respondents No.4 and 5.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of Court, Mr. Surender Singh, A.A.G., Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of official respondents No.1 to 3. Let requisite copies of the petition be supplied to him during course of the day.

In view of nature of order, which this Court proposes to pass there is no necessity of calling upon reply at this stage, as no order prejudicial to the interests of respondents is being passed.

At this juncture, this Court is not in a position to appreciate the allegations of the petitioners, however in the light of pleadings made in this petition, it would be just and appropriate to direct respondent No.3 to look into the grievance of the petitioners as on date objectively and if their grievance is found to be justified, then necessary action be taken in accordance with law.

Respondent No.3 may also appreciate the apprehension of the petitioners in the context of some contemplated action by the complainant party against them. In case such an action is contemplated, respondent No.3 would be obligated to consider the prayer of the petitioners in terms of

issuance of advance notice to the petitioners in order to avail their legal remedies in accordance with law.

Let the decision be made by respondent No.3 without being influenced by any statement of fact recorded hereinabove.

In view of aforesaid direction, this petition is disposed of.

January 29, 2020
Atik

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No