

115 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP No.889 of 2020

DATE OF DECISION:28.01.2020

Kamal and another

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE LALIT BATRA

Present: Mr. L. S. Lakhanpal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

LALIT BATRA, J. (Oral)

Petitioners have sought protection of their life and liberty, *inter alia*, taking the plea that they performed marriage with each other on 24.01.2020, whereas private respondents No.4 to 7 are not accepting their marriage and now they are apprehending danger to their life and liberty from private respondents.

Though petitioner No.1 has placed on record copy of his Aadhaar Card (Annexure P-1) to show his identity as well as year of birth, whereas no identity and age proof of petitioner No.2-Beena has been placed on record to substantiate her identity as well as her date of birth. Identity proof is the basic document to be considered in the protection petition. For want of above said document, Court is devoid of material fact i.e. identity of petitioner concerned. Besides that no age proof of petitioner No.2- Beena has been placed on record to show her date of birth, which is material factor to be considered in respect of

condition of marriage to be solemnized under the provisions of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955.

Despite the fact that learned counsel for petitioners has been asked to place on record relevant documents, as detailed above, qua petitioner No.2-Beena but he has failed to do the needful. Thus, for want of identity and age proof of petitioner No.2-Beena, instant petition is dismissed.

(LALIT BATRA)
JUDGE

28.01.2020
rimpal

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No