

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-3841-2020
Date of decision: 23.11.2020

Ranjit Singh Flora

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. Sudhir Hooda, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Ravinder Kumar Hooda, Advocate
for the complainant/respondent No. 2.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 83 dated 05.05.2017, registered under Sections 406/498-A/34 of the IPC at Police Station Adampur, District Jalandhar (Rural).

The operative part of the order dated 29.01.2020, vide which the petitioner has been granted interim bail, is reproduced below:

“On oral request of the learned counsel, the complainant is impleaded as respondent No.2 in this petition. Amended memo of parties be filed within a week.

Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No. 83 dated 05.05.2017 under Sections 406, 498-A, 34 IPC registered at Police Station Adampur, District Jalandhar Rural.

At the outset the learned counsel submits that it is a case of false implication and the allegations against the petitioner are without any substance. The petitioner is ready and willing to explore the possibility of a

reconciliation with the complainant and would not be averse to the matter being referred to the Mediation & Conciliation Centre of this Court.

Notice of motion for 18.03.2020.

Parties are directed to be present in Court on the aforesaid date. The petitioner shall pay Rs.10,000/- to respondent No.2 as litigation expenses on her appearance.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner, in pursuance to the order dated 29.01.2020, has already appeared before the SHO/Investigating Officer and has joined the investigation. It is further submitted that petitioner has also paid the amount of Rs.10,000/- to respondent No. 2/complainant in terms of order dated 29.01.2020, which is not disputed by learned counsel for the complainant.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from the Investigating Officer, has not disputed the factual position and submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no more required for any further investigation.

In view of the above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner, vide order dated 29.01.2020, is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

23.11.2020

Waseem Ansari

**(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No