

**253 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-896-2020

Date of decision: February 27, 2020

Varinder Bachan

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. Neeraj Goel, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

Mr. Sumit Jain, Additional P.P. for U.T., Chandigarh.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for issuance of directions to official-respondent No.2 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner at the hands of respondents No.4 to 7.

On 30.1.2020, the Station House Officer, Sector 19, Chandigarh was directed to produce the petitioner before the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Chandigarh with a direction that the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Chandigarh, after satisfying the mental status of the petitioner, will record the statement independently in the absence of his family members.

The report dated 12.2.2020 of the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Chandigarh has been received, in which the petitioner has made the following statement :-

“Statement of Sh. Varinder Bachan, aged 60 years s/o Yogi Nath Bachan, r/o 77, Sector 20-A, Chandigarh.

Stated that I have no problem from respondents No.4 to 7. I want to reside with respondents No.4 to 6 only and they are taking good care of me. However, my

doctor Ms. Meenu Kapoor, who is resident of Panchkula, who earlier used to visit me and used to check my sugar and blood pressure and used to take me out for walk and further used to take me to Max Hospital, Mohali, has been stopped by respondents No.4 to 6 from visiting me. She may be allowed to visit me and to take me out for a walk and my mobile phone which has been snatched by respondents No.4 to 6 may be provided to me. Many children used to visit me for learning Music, but respondents No.4 to 6 have stopped them from visiting me. As such, they be also allowed to visit me. Respondents No.4 to 6 should keep me happy. I will try to keep them happy. Respondents No.4 to 6 should not file any case against Meenu Kapoor. I have no other problem from respondents No.4 to 6 and I want to reside with them.

RO&AC

T.P.S. Randhawa,CJ(SD),Chd.
Dated : 12.2.2020”

In view of the same, the counsel for the petitioner seeks permission of the Court to withdraw the present petition with liberty to the petitioner to avail alternative remedy available to him, in accordance with law.

Ordered accordingly.

February 27, 2020
satish(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No