

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Civil Revision No.6811 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of Order:26.02.2020

Ashok Kumar Aggarwal(since deceased) through LRs

..Petitioner

Versus

Amarjit Singh and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Munish Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vivek K. Thakur, Advocate,
for respondent no.1.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

Landlords have filed the present revision petition challenging correctness of order passed by the learned Rent Controller dated 08.08.2018, dismissing application under Order 1 Rule 10 read with Order 6 Rule 17 of the Code of Civil Procedure.

Initially landlords had filed a petition against Amarjit Singh son of Beant Singh. Tenant filed written statement pointing out that the petition is bad for non-joinder of necessary parties.

Landlords filed an application referred to above to implead Doaba Automobiles as party-respondent in the petition under Section 13 of the East Punjab Urban Rent Restriction Act, 1949. It is not clear as to whether Doaba Automobiles is a partnership firm or a proprietary body, however that would not make any difference. It is the pleaded case of the landlords that the premises was given on rent to Amarjit Singh, who later on started his work under the trade name 'Doaba Automobiles'. In such a

situation, the amendment sought is only to advance cause of justice and has been sought for to obviate any technical objection subsequently.

Keeping in view the facts of the case, present petition is allowed. Doaba Automobiles through Amarjit Singh is impleaded as respondent no.2 in the petition under Section 13 of the East Punjab Urban Rent Restriction Act, 1949. Consequent amendment sought for in the eviction petition is also allowed.

February 26, 2020
nt

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No