

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.2141 of 2020

Date of Decision: 28.01.2020

Gaurav Kaushik

Vs.

.....Petitioner

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present:- Mr. Aditya Yadav, Advocate, for the petitioner.

RITU BAHRI, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner is seeking quashing of the action of respondent No.3, whereby he (petitioner) was not given priority while making appointment on the post of Restorer in Govt. College, Narnaul.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that pursuant to the order dated 29.10.2010 (Annexure P-4) passed by this Court, petitioner had prior right of being appointed on contractual post.

This argument is liable to be rejected on the ground that order (Annexure P-4) was passed on 29.10.2010 and after a gap of 09 years, petitioner (contractual employee) has no right to be appointed in case the department has engaged anybody on contract basis. The petitioner could have a grievance only, if, he was replaced by another set of contractual employee when he was relieved. After a gap of 09 years, claim of a contractual employee does not survive.

No merits. Dismissed.

**(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE**

28.01.2020

ajp

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No