

**In the High Court for the States of Punjab and Haryana
At Chandigarh**

CRM-M-3549-2020 (O&M)

Date of Decision:- 4.2.2020

Gursewak Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Narinder S. Lucky, Advocate, for the petitioner.

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (Oral)

This is the 3rd petition filed on behalf of the petitioner seeking for grant of anticipatory bail. The first two having been dismissed as withdrawn.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the only role attributed to the petitioner is that he was armed with a '*danda*' and had allegedly given a blow with the same on the back side of head of the complainant's son. Learned counsel has drawn the attention of this Court to the MLR in respect of complainant's son namely Harjit Singh, wherein the only injury mentioned therein is "complaint of pain occipital region of scalp".

I have heard the learned counsel for the petitioner.

It is a case where the petitioner accompanied by co-accused Jaskaran Singh, who was armed with ‘ghotna’ had caused injuries to the complainant’s son and wife. It is further alleged therein that Jaskaran Singh was also armed with a pistol and had also fired towards the complainant though the shot missed him. A perusal of the MLR in respect of complainant’s wife shows that she has sustained 3 lacerated wounds. It is not disputed that injury No.3 on the head of the complainant’s wife has been declared as ‘grievous injury’. In these circumstances when the allegations of causing of injuries stand fully substantiated from medical evidence and the presence of the petitioner is specifically alleged and who is also attributed one injury, this Court does not find any case for grant of anticipatory bail.

The petition is sans any merit and the same is hereby dismissed.

February 4, 2020

mohan

**(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking /reasoned Yes / No

Whether Reportable Yes / No