

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.210

CRM-M-3558-2020

Date of decision: 15.09.2020

Jagseer Singh @ Papla

....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Ranbir Singh Sekhon, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. H.S. Sitta, AAG, Punjab.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

Case taken up through video conferencing.

Through the present petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C., the petitioner seeks the grant of regular bail in FIR No.75 dated 17.06.2019 registered under Section 22 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 at Police Station Khuian Sarwar, District Fazilka.

Seeking regular bail for the petitioner learned counsel submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case; the petitioner has been in custody since 17.06.2019; there is no other criminal case in which the petitioner is involved; both hands of the petitioner are amputated and the trial in which till date none of the prosecution witnesses have been examined is likely to take a long time to conclude.

Learned State counsel while admitting that the petitioner is not involved in any other criminal case and the petitioner's custody period, opposes the grant of bail on the ground that Alprazolam Tablets weighing 340.758

gms have been recovered from the petitioner's illegal possession.

The petitioner has been in custody since 17.06.2019; there is no other criminal case in which he is involved; both his hands are amputated and the trial in which none of the prosecution witnesses have been examined is likely to take a long time to conclude especially in the present circumstances when the world is facing the Covid-19 pandemic.

In view of the above, the present case is considered to be a fit one in which the petitioner be directed to be released on regular bail. Resultantly, subject to the satisfaction of the CJM/Duty Magistrate, Fazilka, the petitioner is directed to be released on bail.

It is clarified that the above observations have been made only for the limited purpose of deciding the present regular bail application and the same would not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

September 15, 2020
Jyoti 1

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No