

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR-6848-2016(O&M)
Date of Decision:21.01.2020

KRISHNA DEVI AND ANRPetitioners

Versus

SARITA KADIYAN AND ANR ...Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: None for the petitioners.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.(Oral)

Present revision petition has been filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for setting aside the order dated 29.07.2016 passed by learned Additional Civil Judge (Sr. Division), Rohtak, whereby application under Order 9 Rule 13 of respondent No.1 seeking set aside ex parte judgment and decree dated 13.06.2011, was allowed.

On the last date of hearing, none appeared on behalf of the petitioners. Today also despite the case having been called thrice, none appeared on behalf of the petitioners. It seems that petitioners are not interested in pursuing the present civil revision.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

**[MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU]
JUDGE**

January 21, 2020
rashmi

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable?

yes/no
yes/no