

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

110

**CRM-M No.3854 of 2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision:- 29.01.2020**

Manmohan Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present:- Sh. Kuldeep Khandelwal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

Petitioner seeks issuance of necessary directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to lodge a FIR against the accused persons mentioned in the complaint dated 24.09.2019.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in respect of commission of cognizable offence, initially a telephonic call from mobile No.98121-16020 was given to Kuldeep Munshi of the Police Station, Rania on his mobile No.88140-11641. Thereafter, a complaint was given to Police Station, Rania but the same was not received by the police. However, at about 6:30 pm, the officials of Police Station, Rania came to the house of the petitioner and took his statement but still no action has been

taken.

Owing to the aforesaid inaction on the part of the Incharge, Police Station, Rania, a representation dated 24.09.2019 was moved before the Superintendent of Police, Sirsa. By way of present petition, petitioners seeks issuance of necessary directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to register a FIR in the context of the occurrence.

On the other hand, FIR No.348 dated 29.08.2019 under Sections 323, 324, 326 and 506 of IPC has been registered against the petitioner.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of Court, Mr. Surender Singh, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of State of Haryana.

In view of nature of order, which this Court proposes to pass, there is no necessity of filing any response from the respondents.

At this stage, without meaning anything on the merits of the case, respondent No.3 is directed to look into the grievance of the petitioner. In case, any cognizable offence is found to have been committed, in such eventuality, S.H.O. Police Station, Rania can be asked to act in accordance with law. If no such cognizable offence is found to have been committed, then the petitioner would be informed as per the parameters laid down in **Lalita Kumari vs. Govt. of U.P. (2014) 2 SCC (1).**

Respondent No.3 would be at liberty to assess the situation even by associating the concerned persons in the process of enquiry/investigation and thereafter, shall take independent view without being influenced any observation may hereinabove in accordance with law.

Disposed of.

29.01.2020

geeta

**(Raj Mohan Singh)
Judge**

Whether speaking /reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes / No
Yes / No