

Jaspal Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

Atma Ram and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ALKA SARIN

Present : Mr. Prateek Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sant Kashyap, Advocate for
Mr. D.S. Bishnoi, Advocate
for respondent Nos.1 to 6, 11 to 13.

ALKA SARIN, J (ORAL)

The present revision petition has been preferred against the order dated 05.12.2015, whereby, the parties were directed to maintain status quo regarding existing position and alienation of the suit property in any manner during pendency of the appeal. Aggrieved by the said order, the present revision petition has been filed.

At the outset, it has been contended by learned counsel for the petitioners that he limits his prayer in the present revision petition that mutation should be entered on the basis of the decree dated 14.10.2015. In support of his arguments, he has relied upon "*Jagjit Singh versus Divisional Commissioner, Patiala and others*", 2012 (4) PLR 289, to contend that mutation proceedings cannot be kept in abeyance during the pendency of the dispute before the Civil Court.

Learned counsel for the respondents is unable to show any judgment to the contrary.

In view of the above, the present revision petition is disposed off by modifying the impugned order to that extent only. The petitioners shall be at liberty to move an appropriate application for getting the mutation entered. The mutation shall be entered after hearing both the contesting parties.

Revisions petition stands disposed off in view of the aforesaid.

(ALKA SARIN)
JUDGE

05.02.2020
Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No