

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-3329-2020

Date of decision: - 18.02.2020

Sher Singh @ Inderjeet Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Rakesh Nehra, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Ramesh Kumar Ambavta, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. G.S. Sandhu, Advocate for the complainant.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Petitioners have filed this petition under Section 439 of Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail in case FIR No. 737 dated 28.10.2019 registered under Sections 148, 149, 323, 324, 427, 452 and 506 IPC, Section 307 IPC was added later on but after investigation Section 307 was deleted and Section 326 was inserted, at Police Station Assandh, District Karnal.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioners does not press the present petition qua petitioner No. 3- Shobha @ Shobha Singh.

Ordered accordingly.

As far as the petitioners No. 1 and 2 are concerned, learned counsel for the petitioners states that injury attributed to the petitioner No. 1- Sher Singh @ Inderjeet Singh is simple in nature and injury attributed to petitioner No. 2 Lakhwinder Singh @ Lakha Singh is also simple in nature.

As per the MLR two injuries have been shown to be inflicted on the complainant. The injury No. 1 is on the hand and is with sharp weapon and injury No. 2 is simple in nature, whereas Kuldeep Kaur wife of the complainant has received one injury which is also declared as simple in nature. Petitioners No. 1 and 2 are in custody since last two and half months. Challan has been filed.

At this stage, Mr. G. S. Sandhu, Advocate, has appeared and filed memorandum of appearance on behalf of the complainant, which is taken on record.

On the instructions from SI Krishan Kumar, learned State counsel assisted by learned counsel for the complainant opposed the prayer made in the present petition.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties. Petitioners No. 1 and 2 are in custody for the last more than 2 and half months and no useful purpose would be served by detaining the petitioners behind the bars.

In view of the above, without commenting anything on the merits, lest it should prejudice the case of either side, the petition is partly allowed and petitioners No. 1 and 2 are ordered to be released on regular bail to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

18.02.2020
Mangal Singh

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No