

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CR 6513 of 2013 (O&M)
Date of Decision: February 19, 2020

Janak RajPetitioner

Versus

Wassan Singh DhillonRespondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. A.K. Chopra, Sr.Advocate with
Mr. Ankit Midha, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. G.S. Sirphikhi, Advocate for the respondent.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

Present revision has been filed under Section 15 (5) of the East Punjab Urban Rent Restriction Act, 1949, against the impugned orders passed by both the Courts below, whereby the petitioner was ordered to be evicted from the shop in question.

At the outset, it is stated by learned Senior counsel, on instructions from the petitioner through Mr.Ankit Midha, Advocate, that the shop in question shall be vacated and peaceful possession thereof, would be handed over to the landlord/ respondent on or before 01.03.2021.

The offer made on behalf of the petitioner is acceptable to the learned counsel for the respondent/ landlord.

In view of the agreed stand taken by both sides, this petition is disposed off with a direction to the petitioner that he shall handover the vacant and peaceful possession of the shop in dispute to the respondent/ landlord on or before 01.03.2021.

It is also clarified that petitioner shall furnish necessary undertaking supported by his affidavit before the learned Rent Controller to this effect, within a period of two weeks from today.

February 19, 2020
sanjay

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable?

[MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU]
JUDGE

yes/no
Yes/no