

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR-6586-2016

Date of Decision:05.02.2020

RAMESH KUMAR

....Petitioner

Versus

GEETA RANI

...Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: None.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.(Oral)

The present civil revision has been filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for setting aside the impugned order dated 01.09.2016 passed by learned Additional District judge, Sri Muktsar Sahib, whereby the interim maintenance allowance of Rs. 5,000/- per month and litigation expenses of Rs. 11,000/- were awarded in favour of the respondent-wife under Section 24 of the Hindu Marriage Act.

Despite the case having been called twice, none appeared on behalf of the petitioner. It seems that the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the present revision petition.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

**[MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU]
JUDGE**

February 05, 2020

rashmi

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable?

yes/no
yes/no