

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-2040-2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 07.02.2020

Sukhdev Singh

--Petitioner

Versus

Punjab State Power Corporation Ltd. & others

--Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. Rishu Mahajan, Advocate for the petitioner.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.J (Oral)

Petitioner, who is serving on the post of Lineman under the P.S.P.C.L, has filed the instant petition assailing his transfer from Amritsar to Kapurthala vide order dated 23.12.2019 (Annexure P-1).

It is the submission advanced by counsel that towards discharge of his duties and responsibilities, petitioner had proceeded to disconnect certain electricity connections and it is on account of the influence exercised by the effected consumers that the petitioner has been penalized. An undated communication at Annexure P-2 has also been relied upon to contend that the S.D.O concerned has recommended to the competent authority to revoke the transfer order of the petitioner so that work does not suffer.

Having heard counsel for petitioner at length, this Court is of the considered view that no intervention in the matter is warranted.

Transfer is an incidence of service. The contention sought to be raised by counsel that the impugned transfer is punitive in nature has gone totally unsubstantiated in terms of pleadings and material on record.

On a specific query having been put, counsel concedes that the petitioner has been serving in Amritsar since the year 2002. No right would come to

vest in favour of the petitioner even if the recommendation at Annexure P-2 is taken at its face value. In matters of transfer it is the employer who has to take a call.

No merit, petition dismissed.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

07.02.2020
lucky

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No