

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

120

CRWP-866-2020

Date of Decision: 27.01.2020.

Aasuda and another

....Petitioners.

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present: Mr. Jamshed Ahmed, Advocate for the petitioners.

Suvir Sehgal, J. (Oral)

Aasuda and Waseema, the petitioners before this Court, claim themselves to be major and they are in live in relation with each other. They claim that they are Muslims. The petitioners seek protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from respondents No.4 to 9. The petitioners have submitted a representation Annexure P-5 to Superintendent of Police, Nuh (Mewat).

Learned counsel for the petitioners has relied upon a judgment of this Court in **CWP No.31834 of 2019** titled as “**Megha and another vs. State of Haryana and others**” decided on 04.11.2019 and submitted that this Court had entertained petition for protection of couples in live-in relationship.

As this Court does not propose to go into the validity of the petitioners' relationship, there is no necessity to issue notice to the respondents.

Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, the petition is disposed of with

direction to respondent No.2 to decide the representation of the petitioners, Annexure P-5 and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived. It is made clear that this order shall not be taken to protect the petitioners from legal action for violation of law if any committed by them.

27.01.2020
komal

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/ No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/ No