

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-3760-2020 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 17.02.2020

Bharat Bhushan alleged to be Lucky Baniya

.. Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Gurpal Singh Sandhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Monika Jalota, DAG, Punjab.

...

Manoj Bajaj, J. (Oral)

Petitioner has filed this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.224 dated 10.12.2019, registered under Section 379-B IPC, 1860, at Police Station Gidderbaha, District Sri Muktsar Sahib. He apprehended his arrest at the hands of police in the above said FIR.

On 07.02.2020, the following order was passed by the Court:

“Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is Bharat Bhushan and is not known as Lucky Baniya, who is named as accused in the FIR.

Heard.

Adjourned to 17.02.2020.

Meanwhile, the petitioner shall join the investigation and would come present as and when called for and in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned State counsel has produced the photographs captured in the CCTV installed at the bank to contend that not only the vehicle in question is owned by the petitioner, which was used in crime, but he is also seen in the said photographs.

Considering the seriousness of the offence, this Court is not inclined to extend the extra ordinary concession of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner.

The petition is dismissed.

17.02.2020
Jasmine Kaur

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No