

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-3242 of 2020.

Decided on:- January 29, 2020.

Gursimran Singh @ Prince.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab.

.....Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

Present:- Mr. Jaswinder Singh Grewal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Ruchika Sabharwal, A.A.G., Punjab.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has filed present petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. seeking regular bail in FIR No.133 dated 04.11.2019 under Sections 306 and 506 IPC registered at Police Station City II, Abohar, District Fazilka.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner is in custody since 05.11.2019. The deceased had died on 03.11.2019 while jumping into canal. As per the allegations, the deceased had affair with the petitioner for the last about 3 years. However, her parents were not agreeing for such relationship and it is for this reason, the deceased had committed suicide by jumping into canal.

He further states that there is no such direct allegation against the petitioner that he instigated the deceased to take the extreme step to commit suicide.

Learned State counsel does not dispute the custody. However, she submits that the petitioner used to harass the daughter of the complainant

and it is for this reason, she committed suicide. She further states that the case is fixed for framing of charge on 31.01.2020 and there are 14 witnesses cited by the prosecution.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioner is in custody since 05.11.2019. The case is fixed for framing of charge on 31.01.2020. There are as many as 14 witnesses have been cited by the prosecution. In this manner, the trial is not likely to be concluded in near future. Therefore, this Court finds that the petitioner deserves to be admitted on bail.

Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court.

It is made clear that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not try to influence any prosecution witness directly or indirectly in any manner.

The observations made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case and the trial Court shall decide the case without being influenced with these observations in any manner.

January 29, 2020
Yag Dutt

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether Reportable: No