

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR-5703-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : 19.02.2020

Ramesh Kumar Bali and another

...Petitioners

Versus

Harjinder Singh @ Rajinder Singh

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Sharad Mehra, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Rupinder K. Thind, Advocate for the respondent.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

The landlords have filed the present revision petition against the order passed by the learned Rent Controller closing their evidence.

The landlords wish to produce and prove the original rent note which was previously not traceable. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that the landlords have already been granted large number of opportunities and, therefore, the landlords should not be granted any further opportunity.

In the present case, the landlords have sought eviction of the tenant by filing a petition under Section 13 of the East Punjab Urban Rent Restriction Act, 1949 on various grounds.

The delay in disposal of the petition is adversely affecting the case of the landlords. The tenant is continuing in possession.

It has been pleaded by the landlords that previously the rent note was not traceable but now it has been found.

Keeping in view the aforesaid facts and in the interest of justice, the landlords are granted two opportunities to lead their entire evidence subject to payment of costs of ₹20,000/- payable by way of demand draft in favour of the respondent. These two opportunities would be granted within a period of six weeks and shall be subject to the payment of costs.

In view of the above, the present revision petition is allowed.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are disposed of, in view of the abovesaid order.

19.02.2020

Pawan

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No